Cartesian Grid Embedded Boundary Methods for Hyperbolic PDEs

Christiane Helzel Ruhr-University Bochum

Joined work with Marsha Berger and Randy LeVeque

Finite Volume Grids

Advantages of Cartesian grid methods compared to unstructured grid methods:

- Simple grid generation / Automatic grid gereration
- Easier (more efficient) to construct accurate methods
- Simplifies the use of AMR (at least away from the embedded boundary)

Application: Cut cell representation of terrain in atmospheric models

(gravity driven geophysical flow)

Cut cell representation of orography as an alternative to terrain-following coordinate method

- More accurate computation of flow over steep hills
- More accurate computation of flow over highly oscillatory topography

Adcroft et al. (1997), Bonaventura (2000), Klein et al. (2009), Jebens et al. (2011), Lock et al.. . .

Numerical Difficulty: The Small Cell Problem

Challenge is to find stable, accurate and conservative discretization for the cut cells.

- large timestep method (LeVeque)
- cell merging
- flux redistribution (Chern & Colella)
- h-box (Berger, Helzel&LeVeque)
- mirror cell (Forrer&Jeltsch)
- kinetic schemes (Oksuzoglu; Keen&Karni)
- finite differences (Siogreen and Peterson; Kupiainen & Sjogreen)

small cell problem - for explicit difference schemes we want time step appropriate for regular cells.

Cell Merging

Merge with nearest adjacent cell in direction normal to boundary. (Powell et al, Quirk, Aslam, Xu & Stewart, Hunt,...)

violate the volume-ratio constraint, and those shaded in red are variant Not yet robust or automatic in 3D, complicated geometries..

Flux Redistribution (Chern and Colella)

- The usual cell update is $V_{ij}Q_{ij}^{n+1} = V_{ij}Q_{ij}^n + \delta M$, where $\delta \textit{M} := \Delta t \sum \textit{F} \cdot \textit{l}$
- \bullet For small cells instead use $V_{ij}Q_{ij}^{n+1}=V_{ij}Q_{ij}^{n}+\eta\,\delta M$ where $\eta = \frac{V_{ij}}{\Delta x_{ij}}$ ∆*x*·∆*y*

 \bullet $(1 - \eta)\delta M$ is redistributed proportionately to neighboring cells

This approach can not avoid a (small) loss of accuracy in the cut-cells.

The H-box Method - 1D Case

Usual method: $Q_k^{n+1} = Q_k^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\alpha h}(F(Q_k, Q_{k+1}) - F(Q_{k-1}, Q_k))$ H-box method: $Q_k^{n+1} = Q_k^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\alpha h} \left(F(Q_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^L, Q_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^R) - F(Q_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^L, Q_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^R) \right)$

Increase domain of dependence while maintaining cancellation property: $F_{k+1/2} - F_{k-1/2} = O(\alpha h)$

H-box Method (cont)

pw constant: $\boldsymbol{Q_{k-1/2}^R} = \alpha \boldsymbol{Q_k} + (1-\alpha) \boldsymbol{Q_{k+1}}$

pw linear:
$$
Q_{k-1/2}^R = \frac{2\alpha Q_k + (1-\alpha)Q_{k+1}}{1+\alpha}
$$
 (using backward diff.)

H-box method - 2D case

Use rotated coordinate system to maintain cancellation property

Other rotated schemes by Jameson; S. Davis; Levy, Powell and Van Leer. First order case for advection is equivalent to Roe and Sidilkover N-scheme We can construct cut cell methods in the context of:

- The Method of Lines (MOL)
- Predictor-corrector MUSCL type schemes

Reference: M.J.Berger and C.Helzel, A simplified h-box method for embedded boundary grids, submitted 2011.

The basic finite volume method

$$
\frac{d}{dt}Q_{i,j}(t)=-\frac{1}{\Delta x}\left(F_{i+\frac{1}{2},j}-F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\right)-\frac{1}{\Delta y}\left(F_{i,j+\frac{1}{2}}-F_{i,j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

• Flux computation:

$$
F_{i\pm\frac{1}{2},j} = F(Q_{i\pm\frac{1}{2},j}^{-}, Q_{i\pm\frac{1}{2},j}^{+}), \quad F_{i,j\pm\frac{1}{2}} = F(Q_{i,j\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{-}, Q_{i,j\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{+})
$$

is based on the solution of Riemann problems; Use (limited) piecewise linear reconstructed states;

• Use SSP-RK method in time, i.e.

$$
Q^{(1)} = Q^n + \Delta t L(Q^n)
$$

$$
Q^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}Q^n + \frac{1}{2}Q^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t L(Q^{(1)})
$$

Approximates multi-dimensional wave propagation

The 1dim H-box method (MOL)

With linear reconstruction in space and SSP-RK in time:

Gradients taken from underlying Cartesian grid (using same weighting as for *h*-box values)

$$
\nabla Q_{k+\frac{1}{2}}^L = \alpha \nabla Q_k + (1-\alpha) \nabla Q_{k-1}
$$

The 1dim H-box method (cont.)

• Use MOL (with 2nd order SSP-RK)

$$
u^{(1)} = u^n + \Delta t L(u^n)
$$

$$
u^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}u^n + \frac{1}{2}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t L(u^{(1)})
$$

- The unlimited version is second order in space and time
- SSP gives TVD for 2nd order RK scheme if TVD for Forward Euler.

For TVD of h-box method we need extra limiting on Cartesian grid

1D Sin Wave Test

Convergence plot for linear advection for one full period, $\alpha = .1$.

The H-box Method is TVD

- The *h*-box method is TVD if all gradients ∇*Q* (includig the small cell gradient) are limited using minmod
- If the MC limiter is used, then the *h*-box method needs additional limiting either for the *h*-box gradient or the Cartesian grid gradient.

Towards the construction of higher-order *h*-box methods

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\bar{Q}_i(t)=\frac{1}{\Delta x_i}\left(F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(t)-F_{i-\frac{1}{2}}(t)\right)
$$

(use 4*th* order RK in time)

Spatial discretization is motivated by PPM of Colella and Woodward.

 $\textbf{Regular grid case: } F_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(t) = aQ_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ with

$$
Q_{i+\frac{1}{2}}(t) = \frac{7}{12} (\bar{Q}_i(t) + \bar{Q}_{i+1}(t)) - \frac{1}{12} (\bar{Q}_{i-1}(t) + \bar{Q}_{i+2}(t))
$$

(and a more complex formula on irregular grids)

The resulting method is stable for *CFL* < 2 and fourth order accurate.

4 *th* order accurate *h*-box method

Requirements on reconstructed function *p*(*x*)**:**

1.
$$
\frac{1}{\Delta x_i} \int_{x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}}^{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}} p(x) dx = \overline{Q}_i,
$$

\n2.
$$
p(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) = Q_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = q(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{O}(h^4),
$$

\n3.
$$
p'(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) = Q'_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = q'(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{O}(h^3)
$$

Use *h*-box averaged values instead of cell averaged values in regular grid alg.

4 *th* order accurate *h*-box method: 1d advection

we get

$$
(q-p)(x) = \mathcal{O}(h^4)
$$
 for all $x \in [x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}, x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}]$

⇒ *h*-box values are 4*th* order accurate averages of the solution and can thus be used to construct 4*th* order accurate numerical fluxes

4 *th* order accurate and stable for *a*∆*t*/*h* ≤ 2.

Multidimensional Method

Second order version

- In two dimensions each rotated box intersects at most two Cartesian cells.
- Form

$$
Q_{\xi}^{L}, Q_{\xi}^{R}
$$

$$
\nabla Q_{\xi}^{L} = w \nabla Q_{i,j} + (1 - w) \nabla Q_{i,j-1}
$$

• In each direction

$$
Q_{\xi}^{-} = Q_{\xi}^{L} + \frac{\Delta \xi}{2} \nabla Q_{\xi}^{L}
$$

$$
Q_{\xi}^{+} = Q_{\xi}^{R} - \frac{\Delta \xi}{2} \nabla Q_{\xi}^{R}
$$

Multidimensional Method

- For normal box outside domain "reflect" to satisfy no normal flow.
- Cut cell gradients using linear least squares (also for first neighbor). Use diagonal cell if necessary.
- Limit so no new extrema at neighboring cell centers , not just face centroids (scalar minmod)

Accuracy study for advection

Second order accurate inside the domain and along the boundary can be achieved.

Computation of error in *L*₁-norm:

$$
E_d = \frac{\sum_{i,j} |Q_{i,j} - q(x_i, y_j)| \kappa_{i,j}}{\sum_{i,j} |q(x_i, y_j)| \kappa_{i,j}},
$$

Computation of boundary error:

$$
E_b = \frac{\sum_{(i,j) \in K} |Q_{i,j} - q(x_i, y_j)|b_{i,j}}{\sum_{(i,j) \in K} |q(x_i, y_j)|b_{i,j}},
$$

where |*bi*,*^j* | is the length of the boundary segment for cell (*i*, *j*).

Plot of the solution in the cut cells as a function of θ after one rotation (i.e., at time $t = 5$) computed at a grid with 400×400 grid cells; (left) along the inner boundary segment which contains 780 cut cells, (right) along the outer boundary segment which contains 1332 cut cells. The solid line is the exact solution.

Table: Convergence study for annulus test problem. The *h*-box gradient ∇Q_{ξ} is computed using area weighted averaging. The rotated grid method is used only for cut cell fluxes. The time step is 0.005, 0.0025, 0.00125 and 0.000625 respectively.

Table: Convergence study for annulus test problem. The gradient ∇*Q^L* ξ is computed using additional *h*-box values. The rotated grid method is used for all grid cell interfaces. Same constant time steps as above.

Shock reflection problem

Reflection of a Mach 2 shock wave from a wedge computed on a mapped grid with 1000×1000 grid cells.

Coarse versions of the mapped grid and cut cell mesh.

Density along the double wedge at time $t = 0.6$ computed on a **mapped grid**. The solid line is obtained from the refined reference solution. (Left) we show results from a computation using 200×200 grid cells, (right) we show results using 400×400 grid cells.

Reflection of a Mach 2 shock wave computed on a cut cell mesh with 800*x*400 grid cells.

Density along the embedded boundary (cut cell values) at time $t = 0.6$.

Solid line is the density along the embedded boundary computed on mapped grid with 1000*x*1000 grid cells.

Non-smoothly varying geometry

Cut cells at a convex (a)-(b) and a concave (c)-(d) non-smoothly varying boundary segment.

Conservation laws with source terms

Recall: Cancellation property (needed for stability) is based on flux difference form of the method

- Source terms can easily be included using operator splitting
- Gravity-term well balancing might be included as discussed by Botta et al. (2004) (local time-varying hydrostatic reconstruction)